Physical Activity Attenuates the Effect of Low Birth Weight on Insulin Resistance in Adolescents
Findings From Two Observational Studies

Francisco B. Ortega,[1,2] Jonatan R. Ruiz,[1,3] Anita Hurtig-Wennlöf,[4] Aline Meirhaeghe,[5] Marcela González-Gross,[6] Luis A. Moreno,[7] Dénes Molnar,[8] Anthony Kafatos,[9] Frederic Gottrand,[10] Kurt Widhalm,[11] Idoia Labayen,[1,12] and Michael Sjöström[1]

OBJECTIVE—To examine whether physical activity influences the association between birth weight and insulin resistance in adolescents.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—The study comprised adolescents who participated in two cross-sectional studies: the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence (HELENA) study ($n = 520$, 14.6 years old) and the Swedish part of the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS) ($n = 269$, 15.6 years old). Participants had valid data on birth weight (parental recall), BMI, sexual maturation, maternal education, breastfeeding, physical activity (accelerometry, counts/minute), fasting glucose, and insulin. Insulin resistance was assessed by homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). Maternal education level and breastfeeding duration were reported by the mothers.

RESULTS—There was a significant interaction of physical activity in the association between birth weight and HOMA-IR (logarithmically transformed) in both the HELENA study and the EYHS ($P = 0.05$ and $P = 0.03$, respectively), after adjusting for sex, age, sexual maturation, BMI, maternal education level, and breastfeeding duration. Stratified analyses by physical activity levels (below/above median) showed a borderline inverse association between birth weight and HOMA-IR in the low active group (standardized $\beta = -0.094$, $P = 0.09$, and standardized $\beta = -0.156$, $P = 0.06$, for HELENA and EYHS, respectively), whereas no evidence of association was found in the high active group (standardized $\beta = -0.031$, $P = 0.62$, and standardized $\beta = 0.053$, $P = 0.55$, for HELENA and EYHS, respectively).

CONCLUSIONS—Higher levels of physical activity may attenuate the adverse effects of low birth weight on insulin sensitivity in adolescents. More observational data, from larger and more powerful studies, are required to test these findings.

A low birth weight is associated with lower insulin sensitivity in youth (1,2) and with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes later in life (3). Insulin resistance is the major metabolic disorder in the early stages of type 2 diabetes development (4). Identification of lifestyle factors able to reduce insulin resistance and the risk of type 2 diabetes associated with a low birth weight at early stages of life is important from a clinical point of view.

It is known that physical activity is associated with a better metabolic profile and insulin sensitivity in children and adolescents (5,6). We hypothesized that higher levels of physical activity may attenuate the adverse effect of low birth weight on insulin sensitivity. We tested this hypothesis in two cross-sectional studies conducted on adolescents: the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence (HELENA) study and the Swedish part of the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The HELENA study aimed to obtain standardized, reliable, and comparable data from a sample of European adolescents on a broad battery of nutrition and health-related parameters. Data collection took place during 2006 and 2007 in 10 European cities from nine countries. A detailed description of the HELENA sampling and recruitment methodology, harmonization processes, data collection, analysis strategies, and quality control activities has been published elsewhere (7). In the HELENA study, one-third of the classes were randomly selected for blood collection (8). Sampling was balanced by center, age, and sex (7). After receiving complete information about the aims and methods of the study, all the parents/guardians signed a consent, and adolescents gave assent to participate in the study. The protocol was approved by the Human Research Review Committees of the centers involved.

The EYHS was designed to examine the interactions between personal, environmental, and lifestyle influences on the risk factors for future cardiovascular diseases. Data collection took place from 1998 to 1999 in seven municipalities in the Stockholm area and one in Örebro, Sweden. Study design, selection criteria, and sample calculations were reported elsewhere (9). One parent or legal guardian and all adolescents provided written informed consent. The study was approved by local ethical committees.

For the current study, we selected adolescents from the HELENA study and the Swedish part of the EYHS with valid data on sex, age, birth weight, BMI, sexual maturation, maternal education, breastfeeding, objectively measured physical activity, and fasting glucose and insulin concentrations. A total of 520 adolescents from the HELENA study (12.5–17.5 years old) and 269 adolescents from the EYHS (14.5–16.5 years old) met all these criteria and were therefore included in the analyses. The adolescents from the HELENA study included in

the analyses did not differ from those not included in terms of birth weight, physical activity, and homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (all $P > 0.6$). In the EYHS, the adolescents included did not differ from those not included regarding birth weight and physical activity (both $P > 0.7$), but they differed in HOMA-IR levels (2.0 ± 0.9 vs. 2.3 ± 1.3 for included vs. not included individuals, respectively; $P < 0.01$).

Physical examination. Body weight and height were measured using standardized procedures, as previously described (10,11), and BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. Sexual maturation status was assessed during a medical examination by a trained medical staff (Tanner stages ranging from 1 to 5) according to Tanner and Whitehouse (12).

Newborn birth weight. Birth weight data were recalled by the parents. To note, in the EYHS, the validity of parents-reported birth weight data was previously verified in a randomly selected sample by comparing the reported data with measured birth weights from parent-held baby books directly obtained from hospital records (13).

Physical activity. Detailed descriptions of the assessment of physical activity in the HELENA study (14,15) and the EYHS (11) have been published elsewhere. Briefly, adolescents were asked to wear an accelerometer (Actigraph) for 7 or 4 consecutive days (HELENA and EYHS, respectively) during all waking hours, except for water-based activities. The time sampling interval (epoch) was set at 15 or 60 s, for the HELENA and EYHS, respectively.

Accelerometer data from all participants in both studies were analyzed centrally by the same research group (Karolinska Institute). At least 3 days of recording with a minimum of 8 or 10 h of registration per day, for the HELENA study and EYHS, respectively, were necessary to be included in the study. Bouts of ≥20 min of consecutive zero counts were deleted from the data and thus not analyzed (HELENA and EYHS). Average physical activity was computed as the total number of counts divided by total wearing period in minutes and expressed as counts per minute.

Insulin resistance. We used HOMA-IR as a marker of insulin resistance, calculated as fasting insulin (mU/L) × fasting glucose (mmol/L)/22.5. Serum concentrations of glucose and insulin were measured after an overnight fast. In the HELENA study, glucose was measured on the Dimension R×L clinical chemistry system (Dade Behring, Schwalbach, Germany), and insulin was measured by a solid-phase two-site chemiluminescent immunometric assay with an Immulite 2000 analyzer (DPC Biermann, Bad Nauheim, Germany) (8). In the EYHS, glucose was measured on an Olympus AU600 autoanalyzer (Olympus Diagnostica, Hamburg, Germany), and insulin was analyzed using an immunometric method on a Modular Analytics Modul E (Elecsys, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) (16).

Confounders. In addition to the confounders described above (sex, age, sexual maturation, and BMI), maternal education level and duration of breastfeeding were reported by the mothers and considered in the analyses.

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using PASW (Predictive Analytics SoftWare, formerly SPSS, version 18.0). The level of significance was set at ≤0.05. HOMA-IR was logarithmically transformed (Ln) and entered in linear regression models as a dependent variable. Main exposures were physical activity, birth weight, and the interaction term physical activity × birth weight. Birth weight was normally distributed, and physical activity was squared root transformed to achieve a more symmetrical distribution. Sex, age, sexual maturation, BMI, maternal education, and breastfeeding were also entered into the models as covariates. Interactions with sex were examined; since no significant interaction was found in either the HELENA study ($P = 0.99$) or the EYHS ($P = 0.63$), the analyses were performed for boys and girls together. The association between birth weight and HOMA-IR was further examined, stratifying by high/low (above/below sex-, age-, and study-specific median) levels of physical activity. All the analyses were performed separately for the HELENA study and the EYHS.

RESULTS

The characteristics of adolescents from the HELENA study and the EYHS included in this study are shown in Table 1. Figure 1 graphically shows the interaction (standardized β regression slopes) between birth weight and HOMA-IR according to physical activity levels in the HELENA study and EYHS, i.e., the negative regression slope was flattened in the more active group (above the median of physical activity) compared with the less active one in both studies. The interaction was significant in both the HELENA study and the EYHS ($P = 0.05$ and $P = 0.03$, respectively), after adjusting for sex, age, sexual maturation, BMI, maternal education level, and breastfeeding duration. Stratified analyses by physical activity levels (below/above median) showed a borderline inverse association between birth weight and HOMA-IR in the low active group (standardized β = -0.094, $P = 0.09$, and standardized β = -0.156, $P = 0.06$, for the HELENA study and EYHS, respectively),

TABLE 1
Characteristics of the samples from the HELENA study and the EYHS

	HELENA ($n = 572$)		EYHS ($n = 274$)	
	Boys ($n = 247$)	Girls ($n = 325$)	Boys ($n = 127$)	Girls ($n = 147$)
Age (years)	14.6 (1.2)	14.6 (1.1)	15.7 (0.4)	15.6 (0.4)
Sexual maturation [n (%)]				
Tanner stage I	5 (2.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Tanner stage II	24 (9.7)	18 (5.5)	2 (1.6)	0 (0.0)
Tanner stage III	49 (19.8)	68 (20.9)	4 (3.1)	7 (4.8)
Tanner stage IV	86 (34.8)	146 (44.9)	20 (15.7)	72 (49.0)
Tanner stage V	83 (33.6)	93 (28.6)	101 (79.5)	68 (46.3)
Weight (kg)	59.7 (13.1)	55.5 (10.3)	63.7 (10.9)	57.8 (9.2)
Height (cm)	169.6 (10.1)	162.0 (7.2)	175.5 (7.6)	165.7 (6.1)
BMI (kg/m^2)	20.6 (3.3)	21.1 (3.4)	20.6 (2.7)	21.0 (2.8)
Average PA (counts/min)	494 (151)	387 (125)	560 (207)	487 (150)
Birth weight (kg)	3.5 (0.6)	3.3 (0.5)	3.6 (0.6)	3.4 (0.7)
Exclusive breastfeeding [n (%)]				
Never	57 (24.6)	67 (21.8)	16 (12.7)	13 (9.0)
1–5 months	145 (62.8)	197 (64.2)	85 (67.5)	110 (75.8)
≥6 months	29 (12.6)	43 (14.0)	25 (19.8)	22 (15.2)
Maternal education [n (%)]				
Lower than university	139 (58.9)	216 (68.6)	82 (65.6)	87 (59.2)
University	97 (41.1)	99 (31.4)	43 (34.4)	60 (40.8)
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.2 (0.4)	5.0 (0.4)	5.1 (0.4)	4.9 (0.4)
Insulin (mUI/L)	9.4 (5.8)	10.3 (5.6)	8.3 (3.5)	9.6 (3.9)
HOMA-IR	2.2 (1.3)	2.3 (1.3)	1.9 (0.9)	2.1 (1.0)

Data are means (SD), unless otherwise indicated. PA, physical activity. There were 34 and 3 missing values for breastfeeding in the HELENA study and the EYHS, respectively. There were 21 and 2 missing data for maternal education in the HELENA study and the EYHS, respectively.

FIG. 1. Graphical representation of the standardized regression slopes (birth weight – Ln HOMA-IR) by levels of physical activity in adolescents from the HELENA study and the EYHS. PA, physical activity. High/low PA was defined as being above/below the age-, sex-, and study-specific median values for average PA (counts/minute). The regression models were adjusted for sex, age, sexual maturation, BMI, maternal education, and breastfeeding. The standardized coefficients are interpreted as the number of SDs that the outcome changes as a result of 1-SD change in the predictor.


FIG. 2. Adjusted means (and SEs) for Ln HOMA-IR according to birth weight groups and levels of physical activity in adolescents from the HELENA study and the EYHS. PA, physical activity; T, tertile. High/low PA was defined as being above/below the age-, sex-, and study-specific median values for average PA (counts/minute). The ANCOVA models were adjusted for sex, age, sexual maturation, BMI, maternal education, and breastfeeding. Fitted lines for the means given are also represented.

whereas no evidence of association was found in the high active group (standardized $\beta = -0.031$, $P = 0.62$, and standardized $\beta = 0.053$, $P = 0.55$, for the HELENA study and EYHS, respectively). When ANCOVA (adjusted by the same set of confounders) was conducted using birth weight groups (tertiles), the associations between birth weight and HOMA-IR (Fig. 2) were consistent with those observed in the regression analyses (Fig. 1).

For exploratory purposes, we examined in the HELENA study whether the results were affected after the exclusion of participants with <35 weeks of gestation (i.e., preterm babies, $n = 28$, 5.1% of the study sample) and additional adjustment for gestational age. We observed that the associations between birth weight and HOMA-IR remained different by levels of physical activity, i.e., standardized $\beta = -0.131$ and $P = 0.03$ in low-active participants, whereas $\beta = -0.046$ and $P = 0.50$ in high-active participants. Likewise, further adjustment for study center in the HELENA study did not alter the results. The P value for interaction was 0.048, and the association between birth weight and HOMA-IR was borderline significant in the low–physically active group ($\beta = -0.089$, $P = 0.07$), whereas no evidence of association was observed in the high–physically active group ($\beta = -0.018$, $P = 0.74$).

DISCUSSION

The results suggest that higher levels of physical activity may attenuate the adverse effects of a low birth weight on

insulin sensitivity in adolescents. The interaction effect observed was marginally significant; however, the fact that this finding was observed in two different epidemiological studies conducted on adolescents strengthens the conclusions.

Our findings contrast with those of Ridgway et al. (17), who did not observe an interaction in the association between birth weight and insulin/HOMA-IR by level of objective measured physical activity in children and adolescents from Denmark, Portugal, Estonia, and Norway ($n = 1,254$). However, our results are in agreement with those from two studies assessing physical activity (using questionnaires) in middle-age men (18) and older adults (19). Laaksonen et al. (18) observed that a low size at birth, as assessed by ponderal index (birth length [m]/ birth weight [kg]^3), was associated with hyperinsulinemia only in less active men ($n = 267$). Likewise, Eriksson et al. (19) concluded that subjects predisposed to type 2 diabetes because of a small birth weight were strongly protected from glucose intolerance by regular exercise ($n = 500$).

Insulin acts upon a variety of tissues within the body; however, those of particular interest for regulating glucose homeostasis are the skeletal muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. Several studies have shown that subjects born with a low birth weight had alterations in the expression or

function in the insulin-signaling components in both adipose tissue and skeletal muscle (i.e., GLUT4, PKC, p85, p110β, or insulin receptor substate-1) (20,21). Our data suggest that higher levels of physical activity might attenuate the effect of low birth weight on insulin resistance in adolescence. Physical activity–induced physiological adaptations, which might underlie an increased insulin sensitivity, such as increases in levels of GLUT4 and glycogen synthase activity (22) or changes in the expression and/or activity of proteins involved in insulin signal transduction in skeletal muscle (23). Detailed information on molecular and metabolic mechanisms of insulin resistance, with reference to physical activity, has been discussed elsewhere (24).

Some limitations need to be considered. The study samples are relatively small. On the other hand, the accuracy of the objective methodology used for physical activity and the inclusion of two different studies in a single report must be acknowledged. As in previous studies (17,18), information on gestational age was lacking in the EYHS and should be considered as a limitation. Exploratory analyses in the HELENA study participants were in agreement with other studies (25,26); the inclusion of gestational age in the analyses did not modify the association of small birth weight with insulin resistance or glucose tolerance.

Because some methodological differences between the HELENA study and EYHS existed (e.g., method to assess glucose and insulin, and accelerometry criteria), data from both studies were analyzed separately instead of pooling them together. The fact that the results from both studies concurred suggests that the study conclusion is confirmed regardless of differences in methodology, data collection year, age range (12.5–17.5 years in the HELENA study and 14.5–16.5 years in the EYHS), and geographical origin of the adolescent sample.

In conclusion, the present results suggest that being more active might attenuate the adverse effects of low birth weight on insulin resistance in adolescents. This finding has important social and clinical implications and supports that promotion of physical activity is beneficial also in young people at higher risk because of their low birth weight. More observational data from larger and more powerful studies are required to test these findings.

REFERENCES

1. Lawlor DA, Riddoch CJ, Page AS, et al. The association of birthweight and contemporary size with insulin resistance among children from Estonia and Denmark: findings from the European Youth Heart Study. Diabet Med 2005;22:921–930
2. Park E. Birth weight was negatively correlated with plasma ghrelin, insulin resistance, and coenzyme Q10 levels in overweight children. Nurs Res Pract 2010;4:311–316
3. Whincup PH, Kaye SJ, Owen CG, et al. Birth weight and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. JAMA 2008;300:2886–2897
4. Ludwig DS, Ebbeling CB. Type 2 diabetes mellitus in children: primary care and public health considerations. JAMA 2001;286:1427–1430
5. Rizzo NS, Ruiz JR, Oja L, Veidebaum T, Sjöström M. Associations between physical activity, body fat, and insulin resistance (homeostasis model assessment) in adolescents: the European Youth Heart Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:586–592
6. Brage S, Wedderkopp N, Ekelund U, et al. Features of the metabolic syndrome are associated with objectively measured physical activity and fitness in Danish children: the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS). Diabetes Care 2004;27:2141–2148
7. Moreno LA, De Henauw S, González-Gross M, et al. Design and implementation of the Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(Suppl. 5):S4–S11
8. González-Gross M, Breidenassel C, Gómez-Martínez S, et al. Sampling and processing of fresh blood samples within a European multicenter nutritional study: evaluation of biomarker stability during transport and storage. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(Suppl. 5):S66–S75
9. Wennlöf AH, Yngve A, Sjöström M. Sampling procedure, participation rates and representativeness in the Swedish part of the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS). Public Health Nutr 2003;6:291–299
10. Nagy E, Vicente-Rodriguez G, Manios Y, et al. Harmonization process and reliability assessment of anthropometric measurements in a multicenter study in adolescents. Int J Obes (Lond) 2008;32(Suppl. 5):S58–S65
11. Ruiz JR, Rizzo NS, Hurtig-Wennlöf A, Ortega FB, Wärnberg J, Sjöström M. Relations of total physical activity and intensity to fitness and fatness in children: the European Youth Heart Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2006;84:299–303
12. Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH. Clinical longitudinal standards for height, weight, height velocity, weight velocity, and stages of puberty. Arch Dis Child 1976;51:170–179
13. Labayen I, Ortega FB, Sjöström M, Ruiz JR. Early life origins of low-grade inflammation and atherosclerosis risk in children and adolescents. J Pediatr 2009;155:673–677
14. Martinez-Gomez D, Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, et al. Recommended levels of physical activity to avoid an excess of body fat in European adolescents: the HELENA Study. Am J Prev Med 2010;39:203–211
15. Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Martínez-Gómez D, et al. Objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time in European adolescents: the HELENA study. Am J Epidemiol. 30 June 2011 [Epub ahead of print]
16. Wennlöf AH, Yngve A, Nilsson TK, Sjöström M. Serum lipids, glucose and insulin levels in healthy schoolchildren aged 9 and 15 years from Central Sweden: reference values in relation to biological, social and lifestyle factors. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 2005;65:65–76
17. Ridgway CL, Brage S, Anderssen SA, Sardinha LB, Andersen LB, Ekelund U. Does physical activity and aerobic fitness moderate the association between birth weight and metabolic risk in youth? The European Youth Heart Study. Diabetes Care 2010;34:187–192
18. Laaksonen DE, Lakka HM, Lynch J, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and vigorous leisure-time physical activity modify the association of small size at birth with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care 2003;26:2156–2164
19. Eriksson JG, Ylihärsilä H, Forsén T, Osmond C, Barker DJ. Exercise protects against glucose intolerance in individuals with a small body size at birth. Prev Med 2004;39:164–167

20. Ozanne SE, Jensen CB, Tingey KJ, et al. Decreased protein levels of key insulin signalling molecules in adipose tissue from young men with a low birthweight: potential link to increased risk of diabetes? Diabetologia 2006; 49:2993–2999

21. Ozanne SE, Jensen CB, Tingey KJ, Storgaard H, Madsbad S, Vaag AA. Low birthweight is associated with specific changes in muscle insulin-signalling protein expression. Diabetologia 2005;48:547–552

22. Henriksson J. Influence of exercise on insulin sensitivity. J Cardiovasc Risk 1995;2:303–309

23. Hawley JA, Lessard SJ. Exercise training-induced improvements in insulin action. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2008;192:127–135

24. Muoio DM, Newgard CB. Mechanisms of disease: molecular and metabolic mechanisms of insulin resistance and beta-cell failure in type 2 diabetes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008;9:193–205

25. Phillips DI, Barker DJ, Hales CN, Hirst S, Osmond C. Thinness at birth and insulin resistance in adult life. Diabetologia 1994;37:150–154

26. Phipps K, Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, Osmond C, Clark PM. Fetal growth and impaired glucose tolerance in men and women. Diabetologia 1993;36:225–228

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

HELENA Study Group

Co-ordinator: Luis A. Moreno.
Core Group members: Luis A. Moreno, Fréderic Gottrand, Stefaan De Henauw, Marcela González-Gross, Chantal Gilbert.
Steering Committee: Anthony Kafatos (President), Luis A. Moreno, Christian Libersa, Stefaan De Henauw, Sara Castelló, Fréderic Gottrand, Mathilde Kersting, Michael Sjöstrom, Dénes Molnár, Marcela González-Gross, Jean Dallongeville, Chantal Gilbert, Gunnar Hall, Lea Maes, Luca Scalfi.

Project Manager: Pilar Meléndez.
1. **Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain)**
Luis A. Moreno, Jesús Fleta, José A. Casajús, Gerardo Rodríguez, Concepción Tomás, María I. Mesana, Germán Vicente-Rodríguez, Adoración Villarroya, Carlos M. Gil, Ignacio Ara, Juan Revenga, Carmen Lachen, Juan Fernández Alvira, Gloria Bueno, Aurora Lázaro, Olga Bueno, Juan F. León, Jesús Mª Garagorri, Manuel Bueno, Juan Pablo Rey López, Iris Iglesia, Paula Velasco, Silvia Bel.
2. **Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (Spain)**
Ascensión Marcos, Julia Wärnberg, Esther Nova, Sonia Gómez, Ligia Esperanza Díaz, Javier Romeo, Ana Veses, Belén Zapatera, Tamara Pozo, David Martínez.
3. **Université de Lille 2 (France)**
Laurent Beghin, Christian Libersa, Frédéric Gottrand, Catalina Iliescu, Juliana Von Berlepsch.
4. **Research Institute of Child Nutrition Dortmund, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn (Germany)**
Mathilde Kersting, Wolfgang Sichert-Hellert, Ellen Koeppen.
5. **Pécsi Tudományegyetem (University of Pécs) (Hungary)**
Dénes Molnar, Eva Erhardt, Katalin Csernus, Katalin Török, Szilvia Bokor, Mrs. Angster, Enikö Nagy, Orsolya Kovács, Judit Répasi.
6. **University of Crete School of Medicine (Greece)**
Anthony Kafatos, Caroline Codrington, María Plada, Angeliki Papadaki, Katerina Sarri, Anna Viskadourou, Christos Hatzis, Michael Kiriakakis, George Tsibinos, Constantine Vardavas, Manolis Sbokos, Eva Protoyeraki, Maria Fasoulaki.
7. **Institut für Ernährungs- und Lebensmittelwissenschaften – Ernährungphysiologie. Rheinische Friedrich Wilhelms Universität (Germany)**
Peter Stehle, Klaus Pietrzik, Marcela González-Gross, Christina Breidenassel, Andre Spinneker, Jasmin Al-Tahan, Miriam Segoviano, Anke Berchtold, Christine Bierschbach, Erika Blatzheim, Adelheid Schuch, Petra Pickert.
8. **University of Granada (Spain)**
Manuel J. Castillo, Ángel Gutiérrez, Francisco B Ortega, Jonatan R Ruiz, Enrique G Artero, Vanesa España, David Jiménez-Pavón, Palma Chillón, Cristóbal Sánchez-Muñoz, Magdalena Cuenca
9. **Istituto Nazionalen di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione (Italy)**
Davide Arcella, Elena Azzini, Emma Barrison, Noemi Bevilacqua, Pasquale Buonocore, Giovina Catasta, Laura Censi, Donatella Ciarapica, Paola D'Acapito, Marika Ferrari, Myriam Galfo, Cinzia Le Donne, Catherine Leclercq, Giuseppe Maiani, Beatrice Mauro, Lorenza Mistura, Antonella Pasquali, Raffaela Piccinelli, Angela Polito, Raffaella Spada, Stefania Sette, Maria Zaccaria.

10. **University of Napoli "Federico II" Dept of Food Science (Italy)**
Luca Scalfi, Paola Vitaglione, Concetta Montagnese.

11.   Ghent University (Belgium)

Ilse De Bourdeaudhuij, Stefaan De Henauw, Tineke De Vriendt, Lea Maes, Christophe Matthys, Carine Vereecken, Mieke de Maeyer, Charlene Ottevaere, Inge Huybrechts.

12.   Medical University of Vienna (Austria)

Kurt Widhalm, Katharina Phillipp, Sabine Dietrich, Birgit Kubelka
Marion Boriss-Riedl.

13.   Harokopio University (Greece)

Yannis Manios, Eva Grammatikaki, Zoi Bouloubasi, Tina Louisa Cook, Sofia Eleutheriou, Orsalia Consta, George Moschonis, Ioanna Katsaroli, George Kraniou, Stalo Papoutsou, Despoina Keke, Ioanna Petraki, Elena Bellou, Sofia Tanagra, Kostalenia Kallianoti, Dionysia Argyropoulou, Katerina Kondaki, Stamatoula Tsikrika, Christos Karaiskos.

14.   Institut Pasteur de Lille (France)

Jean Dallongeville, Aline Meirhaeghe.

15.   Karolinska Institutet (Sweden)

Michael Sjöström, Jonatan R Ruiz, Francisco B. Ortega, María Hagströmer, Anita Hurtig Wennlöf, Lena Hallström, Emma Patterson, Lydia Kwak, Julia Wärnberg, Nico Rizzo.

16.   Asociación de Investigación de la Industria Agroalimentaria (Spain)

Jackie Sánchez-Molero, Sara Castelló, Elena Picó, Maite Navarro, Blanca Viadel, José Enrique Carreres, Gema Merino, Rosa Sanjuán, María Lorente, María José Sánchez.

17.   Campden BRI (United Kingdom)

Chantal Gilbert, Sarah Thomas, Elaine Allchurch, Peter Burgess.

18.   SIK - Institutet foer Livsmedel och Bioteknik (Sweden)

Gunnar Hall, Annika Astrom, Anna Sverkén, Agneta Broberg.

19.   Meurice Recherche & Development asbl (Belgium)

Annick Masson, Claire Lehoux, Pascal Brabant, Philippe Pate, Laurence Fontaine.

20.   Campden & Chorleywood Food Development Institute (Hungary)

Andras Sebok, Tunde Kuti, Adrienn Hegyi.

21.   Productos Aditivos SA (Spain)

Cristina Maldonado, Ana Llorente.

22.   Cárnicas Serrano SL (Spain)

Emilio García.

23.   Cederroth International AB (Sweden)

Holger von Fircks, Marianne Lilja Hallberg, Maria Messerer

24.   Lantmännen Food R&D (Sweden)

Mats Larsson, Helena Fredriksson, Viola Adamsson, Ingmar Börjesson.

25.   European Food Information Council (Belgium)

Laura Fernández, Laura Smillie, Josephine Wills.

26.   Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (Spain)

Marcela González-Gross, Jara Valtueña, David Jiménez-Pavón, Ulrike Albers, Raquel Pedrero, Agustín Meléndez, Pedro J. Benito, Juan José Gómez Lorente, David Cañada, Alejandro Urzanqui, Francisco Fuentes, Juan Carlos Ortiz, Rosa María Torres, Paloma Navarro.